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Abstract: COVID-19 has prompted a wide range of responses from governments around the world. There is a pressing need for up-to-date policy information as these responses proliferate, so that researchers, policymakers, and the public can evaluate how best to address COVID-19. We introduce the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT), providing a systematic way to track government responses to COVID-19 across countries and sub-national jurisdictions over time. We combine this data into a series of novel indices that aggregate various measures of government responses. These indices are used to describe variation in government responses, explore whether the government response affects the rate of infection, and identify correlates of more or less intense responses.
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1. Introduction

The rapid spread of COVID-19 globally has created a wide range of responses from governments. Common measures include school closings, travel restrictions, bans on public gatherings, emergency investments in healthcare facilities, new forms of social welfare provision, contact tracing, vaccination campaigns, and other interventions to contain the spread of the virus, augment health systems, and manage the economic consequences of these actions. However, governments have varied substantially—both across countries, and often within countries—in the measures that they have adopted and how quickly they have adopted them. This variation has created debate as policymakers and publics deliberate over the level of response that should be pursued and how quickly to implement them or roll them back, and as public health experts learn in real time the measures that are more or less effective.

The Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT) provides a systematic cross-national, cross-temporal measure to understand how government responses have evolved over the full period of the disease’s spread. The project tracks governments’ policies and interventions across a standardized series of indicators and creates a suite of composites indices to measure the extent of these responses. Data is collected and updated in real time by a team of over one hundred Oxford students, alumni and staff, and project partners.

This working paper briefly describes the data OxCGRT collects and presents some basic measures of variation across governments. It will be updated regularly as the pandemic and governments’ responses evolve, and as the technical specifications of the database evolve. However, for the most current and up-to-date technical documentation, please refer to our GitHub repository.\(^1\)

2. Latest additions to the OxCGRT dataset

In order to ensure that our dataset continues to be of value and benefit to the many researchers, governments and public who use it, we continue to adapt and fine-tune our measurements, indicators and indices. With the rapid development and deployment of COVID-19 vaccines, and keeping in line with our goal of collating COVID-19 policy data, we have added three new indicators to the OxCGRT dataset.

**V1 – Vaccine prioritisation** is a categorical indicator that captures eligible and prioritised groups of people (e.g. profession, age, vulnerability, etc.), and shows the the order in which these groups are prioritised for vaccines by their country/region/territory (de jure policy). **V2 – Vaccine eligibility/availability** (also a categorical indicator) is

\(^1\) [https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker](https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker)
linked to V1, and indicates which of the prioritised groups are actually eligible to receive the vaccine (de jure policy) and are actively being vaccinated at that time (de facto policy). Unplanned categories can be added to V2 that were not captured or accounted for in V1. V3 – Vaccine financial support captures information on whether vaccines are government funded, or otherwise, in an ordinal scale for each category in V2 that is receiving vaccines.

Data for the new Vaccine Policy indicators will be added over time for each country/region/territory in our data set, beginning with the initial release of V1/V2/V3 data for the following 24 countries: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States. Please check the project website for additional country data as it is added. Current Vaccine policy data can be found on our GitHub repository.

We are also pleased to announce the addition of sub-national data for all 31 provincial level administrations of China. The data for these 31 provinces (STATE_TOTAL) and central government of China (NAT_TOTAL) can be downloaded from our GitHub repository.

3. Data and measurement

OxCGRT reports publicly available information on 23 indicators (see Table 1) of government response.

The indicators are of four types:

- **Ordinal**: These indicators measure policies on a simple scale of severity / intensity. These indicators are reported for each day a policy is in place.
  - Many have a further flag to note if they are “targeted”, applying only to a sub-region of a jurisdiction, or a specific sector; or “general”, applying throughout that jurisdiction or across the economy. (Note, the flag for indicators E1 and H7 means something different.)
- **Numeric**: These indicators measure a specific number, typically the value in USD. These indicators are only reported on the day they are announced.
- **Text**: This is a “free response” indicator that records other information of interest.
- **Categorical**: These indicators have a range of eligible categories to select, and in some instances, rank (i.e. vaccine prioritisation/eligibility policies).

---

2 [https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker](https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker)

3 [https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker](https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker)
All observations also have a “notes” cell that reports sources and comments to justify and substantiate the designation.

Table 1: OxCGRT Indicators  
See appendix for detailed descriptions and coding information.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Targeted/ General?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>School closing</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Workplace closing</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Cancel public events</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Restrictions on gathering size</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Close public transport</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Stay at home requirements</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Restrictions on internal movement</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>Geographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>Restrictions on international travel</td>
<td>Ordinal</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Containment and Closure**

**Economic Response**

| E1  | Income support                           | Ordinal    | Sectoral          |
| E2  | Debt/contract relief for households      | Ordinal    | No                |
| E3  | Fiscal measures                          | Numeric    | No                |
| E4  | Giving international support             | Numeric    | No                |

**Health Systems**

| H1  | Public information campaign             | Ordinal    | Geographic        |
| H2  | Testing policy                          | Ordinal    | No                |
| H3  | Contact tracing                         | Ordinal    | No                |
| H4  | Emergency investment in healthcare      | Numeric    | No                |
| H5  | Investment in Covid-19 vaccines         | Numeric    | No                |
| H6  | Facial coverings                        | Ordinal    | Geographic        |
| H7  | Vaccination Policy                      | Ordinal    | Cost              |
| H8  | Protection of elderly people            | Ordinal    | Geographic        |

**Vaccine Policies**

| V1  | Vaccine prioritisation                  | Categorical| No                |
| V2  | Vaccine eligibility/availability        | Categorical| No                |
| V3  | Vaccine financial support               | Categorical| No                |

**Miscellaneous**

| M1  | Other responses                          | Text       | No                |

Data is collected from publicly available sources such as news articles and government press releases and briefings. These are identified via internet searches by a team of over one hundred Oxford University students and staff. OxCGRT records the original source material so that coding can be checked and substantiated.

All OxCGRT data is available under the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY standard.
OxCGRT has added new indicators and refined old indicators as the pandemic has evolved.\textsuperscript{4} Future iterations may include further indicators or more nuanced versions of existing indicators.

4. Relation between national and sub-national data

OxCGRT includes data for nearly all countries, territories, and regions in the world.\textsuperscript{5} It also includes subnational-level data for selected countries, currently Brazil\textsuperscript{6} (all states, the Federal District, state capitals and the next largest city that is not geographically connected to the state capital), the United States\textsuperscript{7} (all states plus Washington, DC and a number of territories), Canada\textsuperscript{8} (all provinces and territories), the United Kingdom\textsuperscript{9} (the four devolved nations) and China (all provincial level administrations).

OxCGRT data are typically used in three ways. First, and primarily, to describe all government responses relevant to a given jurisdiction. Second, less commonly, to describe policies put in place by a given level and lower levels of government. And third, they are used to compare government responses across different levels of government. To distinguish between these uses, different published versions of OxCGRT data are tagged in the database.

In the main dataset, all observations are tagged with a _TOTAL suffix as they simply represent the total package of policies that apply to residents in that jurisdiction. For example, observations labelled “BRA NAT_TOTAL” describe Brazil as a whole.

The jurisdiction label “WIDE” refers to policies put in place by a given level and lower levels of government. “WIDE” observations therefore do not incorporate general policies from higher levels of government that may supersede local policies. For example, if a country has an international travel restriction that applies country-wide, this would not be registered. Continuing to examine the case of Brazil, the data

\textsuperscript{4} For a description of these changes, see \url{this link}.
\textsuperscript{5} The designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of OxCGRT concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. We code the policies of the de facto controlling authority of a jurisdiction without prejudice to conflicting authority claims.
\textsuperscript{6} \url{https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/brazils-fight-against-covid-19-risk-policies-and-behaviours}
\textsuperscript{7} \url{https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/variation-us-states-responses-covid-19}
\textsuperscript{8} \url{https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/variation-canadian-provincial-and-territorial-responses-covid-19}
\textsuperscript{9} \url{https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/variation-response-covid-19-across-four-nations-united-kingdom}
recorded for “BR_SC STATE_WIDE” would include any policies made by the state government of Santa Catarina in Brazil plus policies from municipal governments (e.g. cities) within Santa Catarina, but not policies from the Brazilian federal government.

The jurisdiction label “GOV”, indicates that observations include only policies instigated by a particular level of government; higher- or lower-level jurisdictions do not inform this coding.

As noted, in the main OxCGRT dataset, we show the total set of policies that apply to a given jurisdiction: TOTAL. Specifically, in the main dataset, this means that we replace subnational-level responses with relevant national government (NAT_GOV) indicators when the following two conditions are met:

- The corresponding NAT_GOV indicator is general, not targeted, and therefore is applied across the whole country
- The corresponding NAT_GOV indicator is equal to or greater than the STATE_WIDE or STATE_GOV indicator on the ordinal scale for that indicator

In this way, NAT_TOTAL and STATE_TOTAL measures in the core dataset are comparable, in that they show the totality of policies in effect within a given jurisdiction.

Note that STATE_WIDE observations at the subnational level, which code the totality of policies at a given level of government and its sub-levels, also capture policies that the national government may specifically target at a subnational jurisdiction. This is the case, for example, if a national government orders events to close in a particular city experiencing an outbreak. These kinds of policies are not inferred from NAT_GOV but coded directly at the sub-national level.

The logical relationships between TOTAL, WIDE, and GOV observations are summarized in Figure 1, below. From right to left, GOV observations describe only the responses a given level of government takes, and so are not informed by any other types or levels of observations. WIDE observations, which capture all policies at a given level of government and its sub-components, are informed by GOV observations at the same level and WIDE observations at lower levels, with the latter registering as targeted policies (T). TOTAL observations, in turn, capture all policies that apply to a given level of government. As such, they are informed by both GOV and WIDE observations, and by higher and lower levels of government. Lower level TOTAL observations register as targeted policies in higher level TOTAL observations (T), and higher level TOTAL observations only apply to lower level TOTAL observations if they are general (G). Note that CITY_GOV and NAT_WIDE are not typically used, since these are functionally equivalent to CITY_WIDE and NAT_TOTAL, given that we do not consider units below city level or above national level.
Figure 1: Relationship between TOTAL, WIDE, and GOV observations for different levels of government

On our GitHub repositories, these different types of data are available in three groups:

1. Master repository: NAT_TOTAL for all countries and STATE_TOTAL for Brazil, US, Canada, UK and China
2. USA: NAT_GOV and STATE_WIDE
3. Brazil: NAT_TOTAL, NAT_GOV, STATE_TOTAL, STATE_WIDE, STATE_GOV, CITY_TOTAL, and CITY_WIDE (which in Brazil is equal to CITY_GOV)
4. UK: NAT_TOTAL, STATE_TOTAL (for each of the 4 nations, due to the unique nature of the devolved powers of the UK)
5. Canada: NAT_TOTAL and STATE_TOTAL
6. China: NAT_TOTAL and STATE_TOTAL

Table 2: Currently available OxCGRT data across different levels of government and types of observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>TOTAL(^{10})</th>
<th>WIDE</th>
<th>GOV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>187+ countries</td>
<td>N/A(^{11})</td>
<td>• USA federal government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{10}\) This TOTAL dataset is hand-coded at the national level, and at other subnational levels (ie. STATE_TOTAL and CITY_TOTAL) it combines the other datasets to report the overall policy settings that apply to residents within the jurisdictions.

\(^{11}\) NAT_WIDE does not exist. The "WIDE" label refers to data that ignores policies implemented by higher levels of government (eg. reporting policies that apply to a state without including federal government
Governments’ responses to COVID-19 exhibit significant nuance and heterogeneity. Consider, for example, C1, school closing: in some places, all schools have been shut; in other places, universities closed on a different timescale than primary schools; in other places still, schools remain open only for the children of essential workers. Moreover, like policies. There are no higher levels of government above National, so any NAT_WIDE record would simply duplicate NAT_TOTAL.

12 In practice, we would not record CITY_GOV. The data recorded as CITY_WIDE would include only decisions made by city governments and any lower level governments (if they existed), while ignoring policies from state and national governments.
any policy intervention, their effect is likely to be highly contingent on local political and social contexts. These issues create substantial measurement difficulties when seeking to compare national responses in a systematic way.

Composite measures – which combine different indicators into a general index – inevitably abstract away from these nuances. This approach brings both strengths and limitations. Helpfully, cross-national measures allow for systematic comparisons across countries. By measuring a range of indicators, they mitigate the possibility that any one indicator may be over- or mis-interpreted. However, composite measures also leave out much important information, and make strong assumptions about what kinds of information “counts.” If the information left out is systematically correlated with the outcomes of interest, or systematically under- or overvalued compared to other indicators, such composite indices may introduce measurement bias.

Broadly, there are three common ways to create a composite index: a simple additive or multiplicative index that aggregates the indicators, potentially weighting some; Principal Component Analysis (PCA), which weights individual indicators by how much additional variation they explain compared to the others; Principal Factor Analysis (PFA), which seeks to measure an underlying unobservable factor by how much it influences the observable indicators.

Each approach has advantages and disadvantages for different research questions. In this paper we rely on simple, additive unweighted indices as the baseline measure because this approach is most transparent and easiest to interpret. PCA and PFA approaches can be used as robustness checks.

This information is aggregated into a series of four policy indices, with their composition described the appendix.

- Overall government response index
- Stringency index
- Containment and health index
- Economic support index

Each index is composed of a series of individual policy response indicators. For each indicator, we create a score by taking the ordinal value and subtracting an extra half-point if the policy is general rather than targeted, if applicable. We then rescale each of these by their maximum value to create a score between 0 and 100, with a missing value contributing 0. These scores are then averaged to get the composite indices (Figure 1).

13 We use a conservative assumption to calculate the indices. Where data for one of the component indicators are missing, they contribute “0” to the Index. An alternative assumption would be to not count missing indicators in the score, essentially assuming they are equal to the mean of the indicators for
Importantly, the indices should not be interpreted as a measure of the appropriateness or effectiveness of a government’s response. They do not provide information on how well policies are enforced, nor does it capture demographic or cultural characteristics that may affect the spread of COVID-19. Furthermore, they are not comprehensive measures of policy. They only reflect the indicators measured by the OxCGRT (see Table 1), and thus will miss important aspects of a government response. For instance, the “economic support index” does not include support to firms or businesses, and does not take into account the total fiscal value of economic support. The value and purpose of the indices is instead to allow for efficient and simple cross-national comparisons of government interventions. Any analysis of a specific country should be done on the basis of the underlying policy, not on an index alone.

**Figure 2: Global mean index values for over 180 countries over time**

![Global mean index values for over 180 countries over time](image)

**Source:** Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker. More at [https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker or bgg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker](https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker or bgg.ox.ac.uk/covidtracker)

6. Variation in government responses

How have governments’ responses varied? In general, government responses have become stronger over the course of the outbreak, particularly ramping up over the month of March (see Figure 2). However, variation can be seen across countries (Figure 3). This variation is becoming less pronounced over time as more countries implement comprehensive suites of measures.

**Figure 3: COVID-19 Government Response Index by country, 4 June 2021**

which we have data for. Our conservative approach therefore “punishes” countries for which less information is available, but also avoids the risk of over-generalizing from limited information.
We expect the response measures to broadly track the spread of the disease. However, the rate at which such measures are adopted plays a critical role in stemming the infection. Relying on data primarily collated by the European Centre for Disease Control, Figure 4 compares the rate of confirmed cases (the purple line, note the logarithmic nature of the scale) since the first reported death to changes in a country’s government response index (the red line). Some governments immediately ratchet up measures as an outbreak spreads, while in other countries the increase in the stringency of responses lags the growth in new cases.

Figure 4: Reported COVID-19 cases and government response index, selected countries
Differential responses can also be seen across the entire period. One measure of interest is the Response-Risk Ratio, which compares a government’s response to the risk it faces. Risk is difficult to measure, since the number of cases recorded is in part a function of how much testing is carried out, which itself is a measure that will co-vary to some extent with the overall government’s response index (being that testing is reflected in indicator H2). The number of deaths is less correlated with testing regime (but still dependent on how each country defines COVID-19 deaths).

Figure 5 presents the Response-Risk Ratio operationalised as the maximum level of government response a country has reached compared to the total number of cases in that country. Countries above the line can be interpreted as having more stringent measures than the average country (or at least, have enacted measures on a greater number of dimensions to a higher degree), given their number of confirmed cases. Conversely, countries below the line show a lower level of policy action than the average country given their number of confirmed cases. Thus, the closer a country is to the top-left corner of Figure 5, the higher the level of their response in light of the risk it faces, and conversely, the closer a country is to the bottom-right corner, the smaller its response given its risk. Over time, we are observing more countries implement a larger response at a lower case load.
Figure 5: Response-Risk Ratio

a. Current (as of June 4 2020, GRI vs COVID cases)

b. Maximum GRI vs COVID cases
7. Conclusion

As governments continue to respond to COVID-19, it is imperative to study what measures are effective and which are not. While the data presented here do, of course, not measure effectiveness directly, they can be useful input to studies that analyse factors affecting disease progression. OxCGRT seeks to contribute to this knowledge gap by providing comparable measures of individual policy actions, as well as several comparable aggregate indices. We find significant variation in both the measures that governments adopt and when they adopt them. Going forward, governments will benefit from adopting an evidence-based approach to the measures they deploy.

OxCGRT will continue to evolve over the coming months as the pandemic progresses. We envision not only updating the data on a regular basis, but also refining and improving the indicators we record for each country. The most up-to-date technical documentation can always be found on our GitHub repository.14

It is our hope that scholars, medical professionals, policymakers, and concerned citizens will make use of the OxCGRT data to enhance all countries’ responses to the COVID-19 pandemic. We welcome constructive feedback and collaboration on this project as it evolves.
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Qiuyun Dong
Quynh Lam Vo (Lam)
Rachel Dixon
Rachelle Koch
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Rahima Hanifa
Rancy Chadha
Randy Taufik
Raymond Pottebaum
Rayssa Deps Bolelli
Rene’ Landers
Rhona Rahmani
Ricardo Miranda Rocha Leitao
Richard Florance
Richard James Chapler, Jr.
Robert Gorwa
Roda Mohammed
Rodrigo Furst de Freitas Accetta
Rose Wachuka Macharia
Rotimi Elisha Alao
Roxana Tatiana Flores Ibarra
Roy Barnes
Ruolan Xie
Rushay Naik
Ruwa Mahdi
Sa’id Gaya
Saba Mahmood
Safa Khan
Sagar Grewal
Sakina Bano Mendha
Salim Salamah
Sam Webster
Ulla Mikkelsen
Ulrike Gruber-Gremlich
Ursula Panzner
Ursule Demael
Uttara Narayan
Vedant Shukla
Veronique Gauthier
Vian Wagatsuma
Victor Mtaki
Victoria Cavero
Vijay Krishna Palepu
Vinícius Sanches Pontirolle
Vinicius Tadeu Silvério dos Santos
Viviane de Assis Ignacio
Walter Vinicius Ribeiro Cancelieri
Wei Sean Melvin Ting
Will Bennett
Will Marshall
William Dowling
William Hart
Winni Yang
Xema Pathak
Xiangyun Ren
Xingyan Lin
Xingyue Yang
Xinrui Wang
Yanjun Lu
Yanying Lin
Yaowen Deng
Yash Kamath
Yasmin de Sousa Pinheiro
Ye Chen

Yexuan Zhu
Yinqiu Zheng
Yishan Yuan
Yiwen Sun
Yiwen Zhang
Yixin Pu
Yizhou Pan
Yueying Zhang
Yulia Taranova
Yuxi Zhang
Yuxin Ma
Zachary Adnane
Zachary Parsons
Zara Abdurahaman
Zara Raheem
Zelie Kasten
Zhengyu Zhang
Zijia Tan
Zile Huma
Zilin Tu
Ziqi Zhou
Ziqing Huang
Zixuan Fu
Ziya Utku Karadeniz
Ziyue Chen
Zoe Lin
Zoha Minal Imran
Zongyue Liu
Zunaira Mallick
This coding scheme is tweaked and revised from time-to-time. Please refer to our GitHub repository for the most up-to-date technical documentation: [https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/codebook.md](https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/codebook.md)

Closures and containment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Coding instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| C1 | School closing        | Record closings of schools and universities | Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope | 0 - No measures  
1 – Recommend closing, or all schools open with alterations resulting in significant differences compared to usual, non-Covid-19 operations  
2 - Require closing (only some levels or categories, eg just high school, or just public schools)  
3 - Require closing all levels  
No data - blank  
0 - Targeted  
1- General  
No data - blank |
| C2 | Workplace closing     | Record closings of workplaces          | Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope | 0 - No measures  
1 - recommend closing (or work from home)  
2 - require closing (or work from home) for some sectors or categories of workers  
3 - require closing (or work from home) all-but-essential workplaces (e.g. grocery stores, doctors)  
No data - blank  
0 - Targeted  
1- General  
No data - blank |
| C3 | Cancel public events  | Record cancelling public events        | Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope | 0- No measures  
1 - Recommend cancelling  
2 - Require cancelling  
No data - blank  
0 - Targeted |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C4</th>
<th>Restrictions on gatherings</th>
<th>Record the cut-off size for bans on gatherings</th>
<th>Ordinal scale + binary for geographic scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - No restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Restrictions on very large gatherings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(the limit is above 1000 people)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 - Restrictions on gatherings between</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101-1000 people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 - Restrictions on gatherings between</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11-100 people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 - Restrictions on gatherings of 10 people or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data - blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - Targeted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data - blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Close public transport</td>
<td>Record closing of public transport</td>
<td>Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - No measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Recommend closing (or significantly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reduce volume/route/means of transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 - Require closing (or prohibit most citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>from using it)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data - blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - Targeted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data - blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>Stay at home requirements</td>
<td>Record orders to “shelter-in- place”</td>
<td>Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and otherwise confine to home.</td>
<td>0 - No measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - recommend not leaving house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 - require not leaving house with exceptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for daily exercise, grocery shopping, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘essential’ trips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 - Require not leaving house with minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>exceptions (e.g. allowed to leave only once a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>week, or only one person can leave at a time,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data - blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - Targeted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data – blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Restrictions on internal</td>
<td>Record restrictions on internal movement</td>
<td>Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>movement</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 - No measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Recommend not to travel between regions/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 – internal movement restrictions in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No data - blank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Coding instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| C8  | International travel controls | Record restrictions on international travel                                                                                                                                  | 0 - No measures  
1 - Screening  
2 - Quarantine arrivals from high-risk regions  
3 - Ban on arrivals from some regions  
4 – Ban on all regions or total border closure  
No data - blank                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| E1  | Income support        | Record if the government is covering the salaries or providing direct cash payments, universal basic income, or similar, of people who lose their jobs or cannot work. (Includes payments to firms if explicitly linked to payroll/ salaries) | Ordinal scale + binary scale for **sectoral** scope  
0 - no income support  
1 - government is replacing less than 50% of lost salary (or if a flat sum, it is less than 50% median salary)  
2 - government is replacing 50% or more of lost salary (or if a flat sum, it is greater than 50% median salary)  
No data - blank  
0 - formal sector workers only  
1 - transfers to informal sector workers too  
No data - blank                                                                                                       | 0 - no income support  
1 - government is replacing less than 50% of lost salary (or if a flat sum, it is less than 50% median salary)  
2 - government is replacing 50% or more of lost salary (or if a flat sum, it is greater than 50% median salary)  
No data - blank  
0 - formal sector workers only  
1 - transfers to informal sector workers too  
No data - blank                                                                                                       |
| E2  | Debt / contract relief for households | Record if govt. is freezing financial obligations (e.g. stopping loan repayments, preventing services like water from stopping, or banning evictions)                                                        | 0 - No  
1 - Narrow relief, specific to one kind of contract  
2 - broad debt/contract relief  
No data - blank                                                                                                       | 0 - No  
1 - Narrow relief, specific to one kind of contract  
2 - broad debt/contract relief  
No data - blank                                                                                                       |
| E3  | Fiscal measures        | What economic stimulus policies are adopted?                                                                                                                                                           | USD  
Record monetary value USD of fiscal stimuli, including spending or tax cuts NOT included in E4, H4, or H5 (see below)                                                                                                          | 0 - No  
1 - Narrow relief, specific to one kind of contract  
2 - broad debt/contract relief  
No data - blank                                                                                                       |
- If none, enter 0
No data - blank
Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info here.

| E4 | Providing support to other countries | Announced offers of COVID-19 related aid spending to other countries | USD | Record monetary value announced if additional to previously announced spending
- if none, enter 0
No data - blank
Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info here.

### Health measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Coding instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| H1 | Public info campaigns     | Record presence of public info campaigns                                    | Binary + binary on geographic scope | 0 - No COVID-19 public information campaign
1 - public officials urging caution about COVID-19
2 - coordinated public information campaign (e.g. across traditional and social media)
No data - blank
0 - Targeted
1 - General
No data - blank |
| H2 | Testing policy            | Who can get tested?                                                         | Ordinal scale                        | 0 – No testing policy
1 – Only those who both (a) have symptoms AND (b) meet specific criteria (e.g. key workers, admitted to hospital, came into contact with a known case, returned from overseas)
2 – testing of anyone showing COVID-19 symptoms |
| H3 | Contact tracing | Are governments doing contact tracing? | Ordinal scale | 0 - No contact tracing  
1 - Limited contact tracing - not done for all cases  
2 - Comprehensive contact tracing - done for all identified cases  
No data - blank |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| H4 | Emergency investment in health care | Short-term spending on, e.g., hospitals, masks, etc | USD | -Record monetary value in USD of new short-term spending on health  
-If none, enter 0  
No data - blank  
Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info [here](#). |
| H5 | Investment in vaccines | Announced public spending on vaccine development | USD | Record monetary value announced if additional to previously announced spending  
-If none, enter 0  
No data - blank  
Please use the exchange rate of the date you are coding, not the current date. Exchange rate info [here](#). |
| H6 | Facial Coverings | Record policies on the use of facial coverings outside the home | Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scale | 0- No policy  
1- Recommended  
2- Required in some specified shared/public spaces outside the home with other people present, or some situations when social distancing not possible  
3- Required in all shared/public spaces outside the home with other people present or all situations when social distancing not possible |

3 – open public testing (e.g. “drive through” testing available to asymptomatic people)  
No data - blank  
N.B. we are looking for policies about testing for having an infection (PCR tests) - not for policies about testing for immunity (antibody tests).
| H7 | Vaccination Policy | Record policies for vaccine delivery for different groups | Ordinal scale + binary on cost scope | 4 - Required outside the home at all times regardless of location or presence of other people  
No data – blank  
0 - targeted  
1 - general  
No data – blank |
| H8 | H8_Protection of elderly people | Record policies for protecting elderly people (as defined locally) in Long Term Care Facilities and/or the community and home setting | Ordinal scale + binary on geographic scope | 0 - No availability  
1 - Availability for ONE of following: key workers/ clinically vulnerable groups / elderly groups  
2 - Availability for TWO of following: key workers/ clinically vulnerable groups / elderly groups  
3 - Availability for ALL of following: key workers/ clinically vulnerable groups / elderly groups  
4 - Availability for all three plus partial additional availability (select broad groups/ages)  
5 - Universal availability  
No data - blank  
0 - At cost to individual (or funded by NGO, insurance, or partially government funded)  
1- No or minimal cost to individual (government funded or subsidised)  
No data - blank |
### Vaccine Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Summary data output (see Github page for coding instructions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| V1_Vaccine prioritisation (summary) | Ordinal scale | 0 – no plan  
1 – a prioritised plan is in place  
2 – no prioritised plan; there is universal eligibility and availability |

V1_Vaccine prioritisation

- V1_Clinically vulnerable/chronic illness/significant underlying health condition (excluding elderly and disabled)
- V1_Healthcare workers/carers (excluding care home staff)
- V1_Residents in an elderly care home
- V1_Staff working in an elderly care home
- V1_Frontline retail workers
- V1_Military
- V1_Police/first responders
- V1_Ethnic minorities
- V1_Educators
- V1_Other ‘high contact’ professions/groups (taxi drivers, security guards)
- V1_Pregnant people
- V1_Young people 5-16 yrs
- V1_People living with a vulnerable/shielding person or other priority group
- V1_Airport/Border/Airline Staff
- V1_Factory workers
- V1_Disabled People
- V1_Infants 0-4 yrs
- V1_Young people 5-16 yrs
- V1_General 16-19 yrs
- V1_General 20-24 yrs
- V1_General 25-29 yrs
- V1_General 30-34 yrs
- V1_General 35-39 yrs

Record the ranked position for different groups within a country's prioritisation plan.

Blank – category not selected for prioritisation

1, 2, 3, 4…. – category has been selected for prioritisation; number represents the rank of prioritisation.
<p>| V1 General 40-44 yrs | V1 General 45-49 yrs | V1 General 50-54 yrs | V1 General 55-59 yrs | V1 General 60-64 yrs | V1 General 65-69 yrs | V1 General 70-74 yrs | V1 General 75-79 yrs | V1 General 80+ yrs | V1 At Risk 16-19 yrs | V1 At Risk 20-24 yrs | V1 At Risk 25-29 yrs | V1 At Risk 30-34 yrs | V1 At Risk 35-39 yrs | V1 At Risk 40-44 yrs | V1 At Risk 45-49 yrs | V1 At Risk 50-54 yrs | V1 At Risk 55-59 yrs | V1 At Risk 60-64 yrs | V1 At Risk 65-69 yrs | V1 At Risk 70-74 yrs | V1 At Risk 75-79 yrs | V1 At Risk 80+ yrs | V1 Religious/Spiritual Leaders | V1 Frontline/essential workers (when subcategories not specified) | V1 Primary and secondary school students | V1 Crowded/communal living conditions (dormitories for migrant workers, temporary accommodation) | V1 Tertiary education students |
| <strong>V2</strong> Vaccine eligibility/availability (summary) | Reports whether any categories of people are receiving vaccines. | Ordinal scale | Blank – no data | 0 – no categories are receiving vaccines | 1 – vaccines are available to some categories |
| <strong>V2</strong> Vaccine eligibility/availability | Record which categories of people – regardless of their position in a prioritised rollout plan – are currently receiving vaccines. | Ordinal (binary) scale | Blank – no data | 0 – vaccines are not being made available to this category | 1 – vaccines are being made available to this category |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police/first responders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic minorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ‘high contact’ professions/groups (taxi drivers, security guards)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people 5-16 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People living with a vulnerable/shielding person or other priority group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport/Border/Airline Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infants 0-4 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young people 5-16 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 16-19 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 20-24 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 25-29 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 30-34 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 35-39 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 40-44 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 45-49 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 50-54 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 55-59 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 60-64 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 65-69 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 70-74 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 75-79 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General 80+ yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 16-19 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 20-24 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 25-29 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 30-34 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 35-39 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 40-44 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 45-49 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 50-54 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 55-59 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 60-64 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 65-69 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 70-74 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 75-79 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Risk 80+ yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious/Spiritual Leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontline/essential workers (when subcategories not specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary and secondary school students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary education students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| V3 | V3_Vaccine financial support (summary) | Reports the overall approach taken to vaccine funding – whether paid by the individual or the government. | Ordinal scale | 0 – no data  
1 – full cost to the individual for all categories identified in V2  
2 – full cost to the individual for some categories identified in V2, some subsidy for other categories  
3 – partial funding by the government for all of the categories identified in V2  
4 – partial funding by the government for some categories identified in V2, full funding for other categories  
5 – all categories fully funded by the government |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| V3 | Vaccine financial support  
V3_Clinically vulnerable/chronic illness/significant underlying health condition (excluding elderly and disabled)  
V3_Healthcare workers/carers (excluding care home staff)  
V3_Residents in an elderly care home  
V3_Staff working in an elderly care home  
V1_Frontline retail workers  
V3_Military  
V3_Police/ first responders  
V3_Ethnic minorities  
V3_Educators  
V3_Other ‘high contact’ professions/groups (taxi drivers, security guards)  
V3_Pregnant people  
V3_Young people 5-16 yrs  
V3_People living with a vulnerable/shielding person or other priority group  
V3_Airport/Border/Airline Staff  
V3_Factory workers  
V3_Disabled People  
V3_Infants 0-4 yrs  
V3_Young people 5-16 yrs | Record how vaccines are funded for each category of people identified in V2 as currently receiving vaccines. | Ordinal scale | 0 – full cost borne by the individual (or through private health insurance)  
1 – partially funded by government and individual pays nominal fee  
2 – fully covered by government funding, FREE |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Coding instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Misc. wild card</td>
<td>Record policy announcements that do not fit anywhere else</td>
<td>Free text</td>
<td>Note unusual or interesting interventions that you think are worth flagging. Include relevant documentation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calculation of policy indices

The composition and calculation of our indices is updated from time-to-time. Please refer to our GitHub repository for the most up-to-date technical documentation: https://github.com/OxCGRT/covid-policy-tracker/blob/master/documentation/index_methodology.md

Policy indices

All of our indices are simple averages of the individual component indicators. This is described in equation 1 below where $k$ is the number of component indicators in an index and $I_j$ is the sub-index score for an individual indicator.

$$index = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j$$

The different indices are comprised as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
<th>C7</th>
<th>C8</th>
<th>E1</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>E3</th>
<th>E4</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>H4</th>
<th>H5</th>
<th>H6</th>
<th>H7</th>
<th>H8</th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>V1</th>
<th>V2</th>
<th>V3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government response index</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment and health index</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stringency index</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic support index</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legacy stringency index (see end of doc)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two versions of each indicator are present in the database. A regular version which will return null values if there is not enough data to calculate the index, and a "display" version which will extrapolate to smooth over the last seven days of the index based on the most recent complete data. This is explained below.

Calculating sub-index scores for each indicator

All of the indices use ordinal indicators where policies are ranked on a simple numerical scale. The project also records eight indicators – E3, E4, H5, V1, V2, V3 and M1 – that are not used in our index calculations.

Some indicators – C1-C7, E1 and H1, H6, H7, and H8– have an additional binary flag variable that can be either 0 or 1. For C1-C7, H1 and H6 this corresponds to the geographic scope of the policy. For E1, this flag variable corresponds to the sectoral
scope of income support. For H7, this flag variable corresponds to whether the individual or government is funding the vaccination.

The codebook has details about each indicator and what the different values represent.

Because different indicators ($j$) have different maximum values ($N_j$) in their ordinal scales, and only some have flag variables, each sub-index score must be calculated separately. The different indicators are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Max value ($N_j$)</th>
<th>Flag? ($F_j$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>3 {0, 1, 2, 3}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>3 {0, 1, 2, 3}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>4 {0, 1, 2, 3, 4}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>3 {0, 1, 2, 3}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>4 {0, 1, 2, 3, 4}</td>
<td>No=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>No=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3 {0, 1, 2, 3}</td>
<td>No=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>2 {0, 1, 2}</td>
<td>No=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>4 {0, 1, 2, 3, 4}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>5 {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>3 {0, 1, 2, 3}</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each sub-index score ($I$) for any given indicator ($j$) on any given day ($t$), is calculated by the function described in equation 2 based on the following parameters:

- the maximum value of the indicator ($N_j$)
- whether that indicator has a flag ($F_j$=1 if the indicator has a flag variable, or 0 if the indicator does not have a flag variable)
- the recorded policy value on the ordinal scale ($v_j,t$)
- the recorded binary flag for that indicator, if that indicator has a flag ($f_{j,t}$)

This normalises the different ordinal scales to produce a sub-index score between 0 and 100 where each full point on the ordinal scale is equally spaced. For indicators that do have a flag variable, if this flag is recorded as 0 (i.e. if the policy is geographically targeted or for E1 if the support only applies to informal sector workers) then this is treated as a half-step between ordinal values.
Note that the database only contains flag values if the indicator has a non-zero value. If a government has no policy for a given indicator (i.e. the indicator equals zero) then the corresponding flag is blank/null in the database. For the purposes of calculating the index, this is equivalent to a sub-index score of zero. In other words, \( I_{j,t} = 0 \) if \( v_{j,t} = 0 \).

\[
I_{j,t} = 100 \frac{v_{j,t} - 0.5(F_j - f_{j,t})}{N_j}
\]

Here is an explicit example of the calculation for a given country on a single day:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>( v_{j,t} )</th>
<th>( f_{j,t} )</th>
<th>( N_j )</th>
<th>( F_j )</th>
<th>( I_{j,t} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no=0</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no=0</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no=0</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no=0</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>yes=1</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes=1</td>
<td>66.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government response</td>
<td>57.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment and health</td>
<td>52.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stringency</td>
<td>43.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic support</td>
<td>87.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dealing with gaps in the data for display purposes

Because data are updated on twice-weekly cycles, but not every country is updated in every cycle, recent dates may be prone to missing data. If fewer than \( k-1 \) indicators are present for an index on any given day, the index calculation is rejected and no value is returned. For the economic support indicator, where \( k=2 \), the index calculation is rejected if either of the two indicators are missing.
To increase consistency of recent data points which are perhaps mid contribution, index values pertaining to the past seven days are rejected if they have fewer policy indicators than another day in the past seven days, i.e. if there is another recent data point with all $k$ indicators included, then no index will be calculated for dates with $k-1$.

Further, we produce two versions of each index. One with the raw calculated index values, plus we produce a "display" version which will "smooth" over gaps in the last seven days, populating each date with the last available "good" data point.

For example, the date at the time of writing was 22 May. The table below gives an example of which index calculations would be rejected based on the number of policy indicators with data on each data. In this table, we will consider the overall government response index where $k=13$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>No. of valid indicators</th>
<th>No. of indicators in index ($k$)</th>
<th>Raw index</th>
<th>&quot;Display&quot; index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/05/2020</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/05/2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/05/2020</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/05/2020</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/05/2020</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/05/2020</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>null</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/05/2020</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/05/2020</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/05/2020</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/05/2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/05/2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/05/2020</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/05/2020</td>
<td>today</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>null</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legacy stringency index**

We also report a legacy stringency index that approximates the logic of the first version of the Stringency Index, which only had seven components under our old database structure with the old indicators S1-S7. We generally do not recommend using this legacy index, but it may be useful for continuity purposes.

The legacy indicator only uses seven indicators, and it chooses a single indicator between C3 and C4, and between C6 and C7, selecting whichever of those pairs provides a higher sub-index score. This is because C3 and C4 aim to measure the information previously measured by S3, and similarly for C6, C7 and the old S6. This method, shown in equation 3, faithfully recreates the logic of the old stringency index.
\[ S_{I_{\text{legacy}}} = \frac{1}{7} \left( I_{C1} + I_{C2} + \max(I_{C3}, I_{C4}) + I_{C5} + \max(I_{C6}, I_{C7}) + I_{C8} + I_{H1} \right) \]

The individual sub-index scores for the legacy index are calculated through a slightly different formula to the one described in equation 2 above. This formula is described in equation 4 below (with a separate formula for C8, the only indicator in this index without a flagged variable).

\[
I_{j,t} = 100 \left( \frac{v_{j,t} + f_{j,t}}{N_j + 1} \right) \quad | \quad I_{C8,t} = 100 \left( \frac{v_{C8,t}}{N_{C8}} \right)
\]